

1 BARRY J. PORTMAN  
Federal Public Defender  
2 RONALD C. TYLER  
Assistant Federal Public Defender  
3 450 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
4 Telephone: (415) 436-7700  
5 Counsel for Defendant MOLLY KATZEFF  
6  
7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR 09-0754 MAG
	)	
12 Plaintiff,	)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
	)	ORDER CONTINUING STATUS
13 v.	)	HEARING EXCLUDING TIME
	)	UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
14 MOLLY KATZEFF,	)	
	)	
15 Defendant.	)	
	)	
16	)	

---

17  
18 **STIPULATION**

19 The parties are scheduled to appear before this Court on November 25, 2009 at 8:45 a.m. for a  
20 status hearing. Defense counsel will be on leave on the currently set date and requests that this  
21 matter be continued to December 9, 2009. The next available court date for all parties is  
22 December 9, 2009. The government has no objection to this request.

23 The parties also agree that the time between November 25, 2009 and December 9, 2009 should  
24 be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act. The continuance is necessary for effective preparation of  
25 counsel and continuity of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv), and the ends of  
26

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER  
*U.S. v. Molly Katzeff*  
CR 09-0754 MAG

1 justice served by granting such a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the  
2 defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

3 SO STIPULATED:

4 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO  
5 United States Attorney

6 DATED: November 9, 2009

7 /s/  
8 ACADIA SENESE  
9 Special Assistant United States Attorney

10 DATED: November 9, 2009


11 /s/  
12 RONALD C. TYLER  
13 Assistant Federal Public Defender  
14 Counsel for Molly Katzeff

15 ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

16 For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the continuance of time for the next hearing  
17 before this court from November 25, 2009 to December 9, 2009 at 1:30 p.m. is warranted because  
18 the failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation and  
19 continuity of counsel; thus, the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests  
20 of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(iv).

21 SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: November 12, 2009

23   
24 HONORABLE BERNARD ZIMMERMAN  
25 United States Magistrate Judge